

**From:** Michael <michael@theyfly.com>

**Date:** April 7, 2004 8:20:38 AM PDT

**To:** SKEPTICMAG@aol.com, "Tim", derek@iigwest.com, randi@randi.org, Vaughn@cfiwest.org, James Underdown <jim@cfiwest.org>

**Subject: Re: Re: Re:**

Tim,

Fine and dandy but humor me if you will with a response to a couple of simple questions.

1. What would it mean to you if the metals were tested and proved to be irreproducible by today's technology?
2. Would you conclude, and endorse the fact, that they were paranormal/extraterrestrial in origin?
3. If that was your conclusion, what would it mean to you regarding the authenticity of the entire case?
4. Do you object to the goal of proving the paranormal/extraterrestrial origin/nature of the case by any other means than your own preferred ones, i.e. the failure of CFI-West re the photos, the retraction by Randi or the just discovered new scientific information on Jupiter? (If so, why?)

While I appreciate that 30+ pages of dialogue are substantial, it pales in comparison to the amount of information available in English on the case, or the 20,000 pages still untranslated from the German.

5. So, bottom line, is this simply about you getting what you want when you want it (or taking your marbles and going home), or is it about determining if the Meier case is the biggest, most impenetrable hoax... or the most important story...in all of human history?

MH

[Michael](#),

[If you don't have the sample, you and I have nothing more to discuss.](#)

Farewell

Tim

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Michael

**To:** "Tim"; Plejarans\_are\_real@yahoogroups.com

**Sent:** Tuesday, April 06, 2004 6:16 PM

Subject: Re: Re:

Tim,

Well again pardon me if your agenda, after just learning about this case, and that of those who've been into it for anywhere from a quarter of a century to more than half a century, aren't exactly the same. The bottom line isn't the metals, it's is the case true or not and, if so, what does it mean to us? The metals are a part of it. If you don't have the patience to carry one on then, indeed, move on. And thanks for stopping by.

Had you read what I sent you you would have noticed the following: "So, regarding the metals, which you may have noticed that I never said that I was in possession of, and which you probably presumed based on an attempt by the skeptics to distract from and conceal (as magicians like to do) the boring, mundane facts surrounding their being completely baffled and trounced by the photographic challenge, samples are said to still be in the possession of Meier.

I personally perceive problems with testing them, even if a hundred of them were put on the table right now. Apart from simple chain of command problems and the like, let's consider that, while another scientist or ten might also conclude that they had something inexplicable in their hands, I'll bet none of them would, or could, come out and say that they could prove that it was extraterrestrial. This would only actually further obfuscate the "real" issues and the skeptics would be left off the hook, let me explain why.

The situation the skeptics find themselves in right now is one in which they are faced, by their own admission (Randi) and failures (CFI-West) with evidence of

the "paranormal", which is at the heart of the challenge to them since they maintain (to the collective tune of \$1,005,000 US) that no such thing as paranormal exists. Further, because prominent among them are magicians and illusionists, whose very specialty is creating and detecting illusion, hoax and fraud, their absolutely abysmal failures and now ethical and financial dilemmas, show forth so loudly and clearly that awareness of the case, and its now further substantiated reality, is increasing worldwide, witness our communication.

This is a situation that I am not going to allow to be diffused or distracted from, whether there may be further testing of the metals, because, frankly, I think the skeptics would love to see such testing precisely because of the "inconclusive" conclusions that could be drawn concerning even still irreproducible metal alloys.

**Now, while I am very open to that discussion, etc."**

MH

Michael,

Our correspondence is over 30 pages long now, but you still haven't answered the basic question - do you have the metal? Yes, or No?

You talk about jumping through hoops, but if you can't answer that basic question I am clearly wasting my time.

So either answer the question, or that's it, I'm out of the equation. It's a shame, because I could probably have helped.

C'est la vie.....

Tim

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Michael

To: "ike"; "Tim"; SKEPTICMAG@aol.com ; randi@randi.org ; James Underdown ; derek@iigwest.com ; "alexi"; Vaughn@cfiwest.org ; Plejarans\_are\_real@yahoogroups.com

Sent: Tuesday, April 06, 2004 5:33 PM

Time's Up!

[www.theyfly.com](http://www.theyfly.com)

The time has come to elevate the level at which this conversation and inquiry is being conducted. And, I am happy to affirm, that at least a couple of the skeptical parties have just done so. While we may have some perhaps minor disagreements, both Tim and Ike have established their serious and sincere intentions to arrive at the truth of the matter, some initial testiness on all of our parts notwithstanding.

Sincerity and Clarity from Skeptics

While it's possible that I may yet find, to my dismay, that these gentlemen, or other parties, may still wish to have some additional hoop-jumping performed, which will be refused, I am proceeding with the acknowledgement that the overall sincerity and clarity of their recent communications entitles them to a certain respect from me, and other pro parties. With that in mind, I will contrast, for instance, Ike's probing questions regarding Meier's prophetically accurate information on Jupiter with the "official" comments of none other than that eminent "scientist", James Randi, who, on April 15, 2003, issued this brilliant statement:

"No one is "stumped" by the case. A child can see through the farce, though some adults have a problem with that. I'm always told about such things as the "prophetically accurate scientific and world event-related information that Meier published from a few weeks to more than 25 years before "official" discovery or occurrence. Oh yes, this includes the warning that he wrote and published in 1995 about the recent attack on Iraq." Hate to rain on your favorite delusion but, let's look at (a) the number of prophetic statement (sic) Meier has made, and (b) how many were correct. Bummer!"

Bummer Indeed!

First, Meier did indeed publish that, and several other specific warnings in 1995, and they came true as predicted. In addition to being published in

German in 1995, they were on the internet in English in 1998 and published in the book “And Yet They Fly!” in 2001...years before they occurred. That’s called ironclad, copyrighted, published, irrefutable proof.

So, bummer indeed, Mr. Amazing, write that check, your account is way past due!

### Skeptics Followed Own Protocols, Proved Meier Correct

Now, I have made it perfectly clear in my rebuttal to the skeptics’ Desperate Disclaimer that it is reasonably assumed that Randi, and CFI-West, have followed all of their own established, rigorous protocols for determining the authenticity of the Meier case and its evidence. No credible, reputable scientist would casually, and without due diligence, shoot from the hip and utter irresponsible, and inaccurate, comments on a matter as serious as this, to say nothing of his having to account to whomever has actually put up the (presumably existing) \$1,005,000 awards that Meier obviously is more than qualified to claim and receive. So here we have Mr. Randi’s “expert” findings, claims and opinions on the Meier case and the prophetic information, which is clearly shown to be incorrect, ever more so by the resulting additional inquiries I was prompted to make by Ike’s thoughtful and probing critiques of specific points in the Jupiter information of Meier’s that I quoted.

While my own brief notes on Meier’s Jupiter information don’t include all of what he published in 1978, it can be easily confirmed that his published information precedes, conforms with, and is confirmed by, much of what is written on the pages for which Ike provided links, such as:

<http://www.nineplanets.org/jupiter.html>  
<http://www.solarviews.com/eng/jupiter.htm#rings>

### One More Prediction

Since I have already submitted several predictions of my own, which, if proved accurate by April 15, 2004, entitle me to claim the \$1,005,000 combined awards myself, I will make another prediction, i.e. that further diligent research into Meier’s publication of what Randi accurately (for once!) notes that I refer to as the “prophetically accurate scientific and world event-related information” will bear out the overall accuracy and consistency of Meier’s credibility, inexplicable save for the paranormal and/or extraterrestrial hypothesis.

### Invitation

I now invite Tim and Ike and any other people of fairness and good reasoning

to not only encourage those in the ranks of the professional (and amateur) skeptics to raise their standards (and get some rehab for Randi and a few others still living in denial) but to also contribute to the efforts to get the mainstream media, and real, qualified scientists, to explore the Meier contacts as well, for the benefit of all mankind.

Michael Horn is the Authorized American Media Representative for the Billy Meier Contacts. He can be contacted through [www.theyfly.com](http://www.theyfly.com) or [michael@theyfly.com](mailto:michael@theyfly.com)